

# The Effect of Centre-Symmetric Local Binary Pattern 🖄 in Image Recapture Detection

### **1. Introduction**

The process of producing the real scene images and the corresponding recaptured images are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



Displaying or printing a scene on any type of physical media, lead to poor quality of recaptured image. It may be identified by some artefacts like texture pattern, colour fading etc. very easily as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Comparison of a real image (a) and a recaptured image (b).





Scene 2

### 2. Objectives

- To study the problem of distinguishing images of true natural scenes and recaptured images.
- To render unique properties for the image recapture recognition process.
- To evaluate the performance of the image recapture recognition method using the classification accuracy with additions of Centre-Symmetric Local Binary Pattern (CS-LBP) operator.

#### **3.** Dataset

- XintingGao et al., [1] presented smart phone recapture image database taken by smart phone cameras.
- The real-scene images are obtained by three popular brands of smart phones including Acer M900, Nokia N95 and HP iPAQ hw6960 which have back-facing camera
- The recaptured images are obtained by using three types of DSLR cameras including Nikon D90, Canon EOS 450D and Olympus E-520.

Samaraweera S.A.A.H., and Mayurathan B.

Department of Computer Science, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka anuash119@gmail.com, barathy@jfn.ac.lk

### 3. Dataset ...

Table 1 describes the total number of real images and Table 2 lists the total number of recaptured images that are used in this research.

Types	Images
Acer B	407
HP B	369
Nokia B	318
Total	1094

Table 1: The number of real images

pes of camera phones	Types	Images	Total
	LCD – NikonSLR	9	
	PhotoGlossy – NikonSLR	20	]
	PhotoGlossy - OlympusSLR	30	]
Acer B	PhotoMatte - NikonSLR	30	269
	PhotoMatte - OlympusSLR	37	]
	PrintInk - OlympusSLR	50	]
	PrintLaser - NikonSLR	93	
	LCD – NikonSLR	06	
	PhotoGlossy – CannonSLR	76	]
	PhotoMatte - CannonSLR	73	200
пр р	PrintInk - CannonSLR	65	398
	PrintLaser - CannonSLR	130	
	PrintLaser - NikonSLR	48	
	PhotoGlossy – OlympusSLR	46	
	PhotoMatte – OlympusSLR	80	
Nokia B	PrintInk - OlympusSLR	169	470
	PrintLaser - NikonSLR	35	
	PrintLaser - OlympusSLR	140	
	Scenery in Total		1137
Table 2: Th	ne number of recaptured i	mages	

### 4. Methodology



### **4.1. Texture Feature**

According to testing results in [2], in this experiment CS\_LBP is used as  $\frac{N}{2}-1$ 

$$cs\_LBP_{R,N,T(x,y)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{-1}} s_{i}(n_{i} - n_{i+(\frac{N}{2})}) * 2^{i}, s(x) = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \text{if } x > T \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

(x,y)

denotes the coordinates of a pixel,

 $n_i$  and  $n_{i+(N/2)}$  corresponds to the gray level of the center-symmetric pairs of pixels of N equally spaced pixels on a circle of radius R.

### **4.2. HSV Color Feature**

Figure 5: Comparison of the colour features introduced by the reproduction process.







• Moment 1 : Mean can be described as the average colour value in the image.

$$E_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} p_{ij}$$

• Moment 2 : Standard Deviation is the square root of the variance of the distribution.

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (p_{ij} - E_i)^2}$$

• Moment 3 : Skewness can be described as a measure of the degree of asymmetry in the distribution.

$$s_i = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (p_{ij} - E_i)^3}$$

Where  $P_{ii}$  - *i*<sup>th</sup> color channel at the *j*<sup>th</sup> image pixel.

 $\begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \end{pmatrix}$ Color Feature =  $\int \sigma_{11} \sigma_1$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{13} \\ E_{2} \\ \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{13} \end{pmatrix}_{3X}$$

#### **4.3. Blurriness**



#### **5.** Experimental Setup

Selection	Training	Testing	]•
	set	set	
Selection I	70 %	30 %	•
Selection II	60 %	40 %	
Selection III	50 %	50 %	1
Selection IV	30 %	70 %	•
Table 3: Traini	ng and testi	ng image	-

selection. ing innage Whole dataset is partitioned into training and testing images. Twenty six dimensional of physical features

including Texture, HSV colour and Blurriness are extracted from the training images. Performance is measured using accuracy. For a binary classifier,

 $Accuracy = \frac{(True \ positive + True \ Negative)}{Total}$ 

lection	Accura		Features	Din	nensions	Accuracy
lection I	86.67 %		Physics [1]	166		91.3 %
lection II	86.25 %		Vavelet statist	tics [4] 216		80.67 %
election III			Proposed meth	nod 26		86.67 %
<b>ble 4:</b> Recog h different i lone Recapti	gnition rate as mage samples ure Image Dat	accuracy on Smart abase [4].	<b>Table 5:</b> performa smart p	Comparison of ince achieved b phone recaptur	feature dimen by different met re image databa	sion and hods on ase [4].
6.2.	Tes	ting	Resu	ılt II		
Feat	ures	Dimensior	S	Aco	curacy	
1 040			Section I	Section II	Section III	<b>Section</b>
<u>'exture</u>		16	90.00 %	85.00 %	82.00 %	74.29 %
<u>ISV</u>		9	<u>56.67 %</u>	62.50 %	62.00 %	50.00 %
$\frac{\text{Source}}{\text{Exture} + F}$	ISV	25	80.00 %	75.00 %	49.00 %	70.00 %
		25	00.00 /0	13.00 /0	1/2.00 /0	1 / (1 / (1 / (1 / (1 / (1 / (1 / (1 /
Texture + E	Blurriness	17	90.00 %	85.00 %	82.00 %	74.29 %
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens	17 10 sions and perfo combin	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image	85.00 % 60.00 % proposed methor features.	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}\right)$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens TCESt Brand	17 10 sions and perfo combin	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b>	85.00 % 60.00 % proposed methor features. <b>It III</b> curacy	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens Tess Brand	17 10 sions and performed combin	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> Acc I Section II	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed methor features. <b>It III</b> curacy Section III	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens <b>Tess</b> Brand Acer HP	17 10 sions and performed combin <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68 33 %	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image Resu Acc I Section II 81.25 %	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> curacy Section III 74.00 % 63.00 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens <b>Triness</b> ble 6: Dimens <b>Brand</b> Acer HP Nokia	17 10 sions and performed combin <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 %	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II 81.25 % 66.25 % 72.50 %	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> <b>curacy</b> <b>Section III</b> 74.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens CCCS Brand Acer HP Nokia Table 7: Perfe	17 10 sions and performed combin <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 %	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> <b>Act</b> <b>I</b> Section II 81.25 % 66.25 % 72.50 %	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> <b>Section III</b> 74.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % 72.14 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens Dimens Dimens Brand Acer HP Nokia Table 7: Perfe	17 10 sions and performance of pro-	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II 81.25 % 66.25 % 72.50 % posed method c	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> <b>Section III</b> 74.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of <b>Curacy</b>	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % of smart phones	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens Dime	17 10 sions and performed combination Section 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 % ormance of pro-	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II 81.25 % 66.25 % 72.50 % posed method of <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> 74.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of <b>Section III</b> 74.00 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % of smart phones	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{2 \text{ exture} + H}{1 \text{ ISV} + B \text{ lu}}{\text{ Ta}}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens Dime	17 10 sions and performed combiner <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 % ormance of pro- pro- <b>Section</b> 74.38 %	90.00 % 70.00 % ormance of the p ations of image <b>Resu</b> <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II 81.25 % 66.25 % 72.50 % posed method of <b>Ac</b> <b>I</b> Section II 75.93 %	85.00 % 60.00 % broposed metho features. <b>It III</b> 74.00 % 63.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of <b>Section III</b> 73.67 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % of smart phones <b>Section IV</b> 72.52 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens Dimens Dimens Brand Acer HP Nokia Table 7: Perfe Brand Acer HP	17 10 sions and performed combiner <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 % ormance of pro- section 74.38 % 76.52 %	90.00 %   70.00 %   ormance of the pations of image   Result   Acc   I   Section II   81.25 %   66.25 %   72.50 %   oposed method of   Acc   I   Section II   81.25 %   65.25 %   72.50 %   oposed method of   Acc   I   Section II   75.93 %   77.52 %	85.00 % 60.00 % Foroposed methor features. <b>It III</b> <b>74.00 %</b> 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of <b>Section III</b> 73.67 % 75.98 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % of smart phones <b>Section IV</b> 72.52 % 69.27 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent
$\frac{exture + H}{ISV + Blu}{Ta}$	Blurriness rriness ble 6: Dimens CCCS Brand Acer HP Nokia Table 7: Perfe Brand Acer HP	17 10 sions and performed combiner <b>Section</b> 81.67 % 68.33 % 76.67 % ormance of pro- ormance of pro- 58000 74.38 % 76.52 % 83.05 %	90.00 %   70.00 %   ormance of the pations of image   Result   Result   Acc   I   Section II   81.25 %   66.25 %   72.50 %   oposed method of   Acc   I   Section II   81.25 %   65.25 %   72.50 %   oposed method of   Acc   I   Section II   75.93 %   77.52 %   81.90 %	85.00 % 60.00 % roposed methor features. <b>It III</b> <b>74.00 %</b> 63.00 % 63.00 % 76.00 % on the brands of <b>Section III</b> 73.67 % 75.98 % 80.20 %	82.00 % 58.00 % od on the differ <b>Section IV</b> 72.14 % 70.71 % 72.14 % of smart phones <b>Section IV</b> 72.52 % 69.27 % 78.99 %	74.29 % 58.57 % ent

## O. MEICICICS

- Society for Optical Engineering.



[1] Y. Ke, Q. Shan, F. Qin & W. Min, (2013) "Image recapture detection using multiple features", International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering, pp71-82. [2] M. Heikkila & C. Schmid, (2009) "Description of interest regions with Local binary patterns", Pattern Recognit., Vol. 42, No. 3, pp425-436.

[3] F. Crete, T. Dolmiere, P. Ladret & M. Nicolas, (2007) "The blur effect: perception and estimation with a new no-reference perceptual blur metric", SPIE *International* 

[4] X. Gao, B. Qiu, J. Shen, T.-T. Ng, &Y. Q. Shi (2011) "A smart phone image database for single image recapture detection", Digital Watermarking 9th International Workshop, IWDW Revised Selected Papers, pp90-104.