

1. Introduction

- This work focuses on investigating the performance of the Spanning Tree Protocol in Software Defined Networking and proposes an improved Spanning Tree Protocol Algorithm.
- We used the Mininet emulator to emulate the network topology of a given SDN and carried out our experiments.



Broadcast streams in

a network having a

loop structure

logically disconnect to avoid loop using STP.

2. Methodology

We carry out our work in two parts:

first we implemented the basic STP method for preventing loops in SDN architecture and then in second part we propose a new method for preventing loops in the SDN architecture.

2.1 STP method for preventing loop in SDN architecture

2.1.1 SDN without STP Configuration

A broadcast storm occurs on the network. Finally, the network melts down, causing failure in all network links.



Hosts becomes unreachable

A Comparative Study to Measure the Performance of **Spanning Tree Protocol on Software Defined Networks**

M. A. Arham and K. Thabotharan

Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science, University of Jaffna

arham3648@gmail.com & thabo@univ.jfn.ac.lk

Methodology

2.1.2 SDN with STP Configuration

The switches exchange information among themselves using bridge protocol data units (BPDU) and will then listen in on all ports for this **BPDU** message.

ham-Inspiron-15-3567:~/Desktop/ryu-manager\$ ryu-manager simple_swi MDIT 1MS delay 0.000000% loss) (10.00MDIT 1MS delay 0.000000%
app stmple_switch_stp_13.py IMS delay 0.00000% Loss) (8.00MDLt IMS delay 0.000000
app ryu.controller.orp_nandler g hosts
h1 h2 h3
ating app simple switch stp 13.pv of SimpleSwitch13 (100.00Mbit 1ms delay 0.00000% loss) (10.00Mbit 1ms de
ating app rvu.controller.ofp handler of OFPHandler O AAMhit 1ms delay A AAAAAM loss) (100 AAMhit 1ms delay
WFO] dpid=00000000000001: Join as stp bridge.
IFO] dpid=00000000000001: [port=1] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN bit for data 0.00000% [book] (0.0000% [book] (0.0000\% [book] (0.00
IFO] dpid=0000000000000001: [port=2] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN DIT 1MS delay 0.000000% LOSS) (10.00MDIT 1MS delay 0.00
IFO] dpid=000000000000002: Join as stp bridge. Ims delay 0.00000% loss) *** Running CLI
HOJ dpid=00000000000001: [port=3] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN *** Starting CLI:
roj dpid-bodobodobodovovi (port-i) prstukato port / isten mininet> pingall
FOI doid=00000000000000000000000000000000000
IFO] dpid=000000000000002: [port=3] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN
IFO] dpid=00000000000000003: [port=1] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN
IFO] dpid=0000000000000003: [port=2] DESIGNATED_PORT / LISTEN 12 -> 11 113
IFO] dpid=000000000000002: [port=2] Receive superior BPDU.
IFO] dpid=00000000000000000000000000000000000
Select Root Bridge & Ports Hosts becomes reachable

Hosts becomes reachable

2.2 The Proposed Algorithm	8:	ł
As a result, only activated switches will remain as switches(S). Here we	9:	i
check the following two conditions:	10:	
Condition-1: New set S (after deleting S1) has intersection with all sets of		
N(Si) or not. { N(Si) set of neighbors of the switch Si}	11:	
(If in the condition 1, switch Si is a non-activated switch, then it will be	12:	

12: deleted and then it checks the condition 2) 13: **Condition-2:** In addition, the SDN controller has to check the sub-graph 14: End

to find out that it is a connected graph or not.

3. Test Results

Input: a set of activated switches & non-activated switches

Testing the Proposed Algorithm

Input S ={S1, S2,, S11} Neighbors of Si (i = 1 to 11)N(S1) = {S2, S3, S4, S6, S10}, $N(S2) = {S1, S3, S10}, \dots,$ $N(S11) = {S2}$

Step-1= new S = {S2, S3, ..., S11} Step-2 = Check condition 1 Step-3 = Check condition 2 Step-4 = go to Step-1 Output = Set of Activated Switches $S = {S2,S3,S8,S9,S10}$

Algorithm for selecting activated switches

- S The set of switches, Input: N(Si) - the neighbors of the switch Si, The network graph **Dutput:** The set of activated switches
 - for each Si in S // Si = S1, S2,
 - newS \leftarrow remove Si from S
 - for all N(Si):
 - if intersection of the newS and N(Si) = *ø*:
 - add Si to the newS
 - break
 - End
 - End

7:

- if Si is not in the newS: // Check Condition 2
- H ← sub-graph from the network containing
 - nodes of the newS
- if H is not connected:
 - add Si to the newS
- End
- 15: The set of activated switches \leftarrow S

4. Experimental setup

- To evaluate the proposed method we used RYU as controller of the network.
- Here we used Mininet Simulator to create the topology of the network.
- The *"pingall"* command in Mininet can be seen all the hosts could communicate together or not.
- Implemented the basic STP method and proposed method for preventing loops in SDN architecture.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

- SDN is a novel networking paradigm in which the control plane is decoupled from the forwarding plane.
- ✤ STP is used to prevent loop in layer 2.
- ✤ In our method, the SDN controller received much fewer broadcast packets

and CPU utilization.

6. References

[1]. Kreutz, D., Ramos, F.M., Verissimo, P.E., Rothenberg, C.E., Azodolmolky, S. and Uhlig, S., Software-defined networking: A comprehensive survey. Proceedings of the IEEE, 103(1), pp. 14-76. 2014.

[2]. "Spanning Tree" [online]. Available:

https://osrg.github.io/rvu-book/en/html/spanning tree.html

[3]. Irawati, I.D. and Nuruzzamanirridha, M., "Spanning Tree Protocol Simulation Based on Software Defined Network Using Mininet Emulator." In International Conference on Soft Computing, Intelligence Systems, and Information Technology, pp. 395-403, 2015.